EXHIBIT 6

ī	,
	1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
2	RICHMOND DIVISION
3	
4	ePLUS, INC.,
5	Plaintiff, : Civil Action
6	: No. 3:09CV620 LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.,
7	: March 26, 2010 Defendant. :
8	:
9	
10	
11	COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE CALL BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. PAYNE
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14 15	ADDEADANCEC. (All ris tolophone)
	APPEARANCES: (All via telephone)
1617	Scott L. Robertson, Esq. GOODWIN PROCTER
	901 New York Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20001
1819	Craig T. Merritt, Esq. Henry I. Willett, III, Esq.
20	CHRISTIAN & BARTON 909 E. Main Street, Suite 1200
21	Richmond, VA 23219-3095
22	Counsel for the plaintiff ePlus
23	
24	DIANE J. DAFFRON, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

rulings ahead of time. You kind of have to wait and see what happens in the course of the case. And if somebody doesn't give you the information in discovery that you ask for that's pertinent to their defense and they want to raise it, you say, I want to move the strike the defense because they said we weren't entitled to this and they didn't give it to us. Then wham, the door is shut on it. That's part of practicing law is knowing how to do that stuff.

Is implementation by the customer any longer asserted, Mr. McDonald, as necessary before infringement can occur?

MR. McDONALD: I'm not sure what the word

"implementation" means here, Your Honor. What I think
he may be talking about is when specific situations
have come up, for example, where third party customers
that ePlus deposed either said they didn't implement
certain modules or features that were in the product.

That might be one category he might be talking about.

Another one has to do with when they load up the data, where do they get it from? Does Lawson load the data up for them or not? If that's what he means by implementation, those are certainly still relevant issues, Your Honor, but the word "implementation" just isn't very clear to me in terms of what exactly that

Mr. Robertson is asking us to do.

THE COURT: When were these interrogatories filed, Mr. Robertson?

MR. ROBERTSON: I believe -- I think it was -- I'm not sure, Your Honor. I think it was October of 2009. It's been several months.

THE COURT: Well, it's too late to be asking that. If you didn't understand that word, you should have asked about that back a long time ago. Answer the interrogatory.

I, frankly, don't understand how it is a defense to infringement to say you sold Payne something, but Payne didn't use all of it. If you sold it to me, that's an infringement, it seems to me. So I don't really understand the issue, but you-all know enough and you can use the dictionaries to get your definitions. Answer it.

All right. That takes care of everything that you all have got pending right know, doesn't it?

MR. ROBERTSON: Well, Your Honor, we had one issue with respect to non-infringement contentions, but I think we can work that out with Lawson.

THE COURT: Good. That will be good.

All right. Now, I don't know if there's enough time in my lifetime and yours to try a case

```
23
 1
    sir.
 2
             THE COURT: That briefing is going to be
 3
    finished or has it been finished now?
             MR. ROBERTSON: It will be finished on
 4
 5
    Monday, Your Honor.
 6
             THE COURT: Monday. All right. Thank you.
 7
             MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: You-all take care. Bye.
8
9
             (The proceedings were adjourned at 11:16
10
11
    a.m.)
12
             I, Diane J. Daffron, certify that the
13
    foregoing is a true and accurate transcription of my
14
    stenographic notes.
15
                          /s/
                                                 3/30/10
16
                 DIANE J. DAFFRON, RPR, CCR DATE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```